





everywhere represent yields available to all wells because of variations in local hydrogeology, type of well construction, and in the reliability of available data. However, the indicated yields are thought to be good approximations in most areas. In cases where reliable, long-term yields are sought, it is necessary to undertake detailed hydrogeologic investigations and pumping tests.

## ASSESSING WATER REQUIREMENTS

In order to evaluate well yields, the amount of water required from a prospective well should first be estimated. To estimate the approximate domestic and livestock daily water requirements, multiply the number of users (people and animals) by the appropriate figure in the table below. If desired, an additional 20 to 30% can be added to the total to account for increased demand in the future. While individual residential needs are difficult to estimate, most homes with water-consuming items such as washing machines will average about 100 gallons per day It is important to take into account the water demand during peak periods of usage in order that the well does not run dry temporarily. This demand can be estimated by counting the number of fixtures and water outlets in the house which will be used at one time, and multiplying by the flow rate for each. Tables showing the flow rate per fixture can be obtained from water-supply equipment dealers.

Approximate Daily Water Requirements

(kitchen, laundry, bath) for each producing milk cow 50-150 gallons per day 35 gallons per day 15 gallons per day (incl. washing) for each dry cow 12 gallons per day 4 gallons per day for each steer, horse for each sheep 2 gallons per day for each 100 turkeys 12 gallons per day Note: —table modified from F. R. Hore, Farm Water Supply, Ontario Department of Agriculture and Food, Publication 476

For information on irrigation requirements, contact your Regional Office of the Ontario Ministry of Agriculture and Food.

applications is appended).

The maps should be used in the suggested sequence in order to obtain the most economic wells. Map 3135-1 indicates yields from the shallowest formations and should be consulted first. Progressively deeper and more costly wells will have to be constructed as water is sought from deeper formations in order to obtain the yields indicated on maps 3135-3 and 3135-5.

## **Evaluation Procedure**

To evaluate yields:
1. locate the well site on Map 3135-1 of Sheet 1 (Yields from Shallow Overburden);
2. note the colour of the map at the well site; note the colour of the map at the well site;
 refer to the legend and relate the colour to the appropriate probable yield;
 if the probable yield does not meet your water requirements, repeat steps one through three using Map 3135-3 on Sheet 2 (Yields from Deep Overburden). Similarily, if probable yields determined from Map 3135-3 are insufficient, repeat the same steps using Map 3135-5 on Sheet 3 (Yields from Bedrock).

(Yields from Bedrock).

To evaluate the depths to water-bearing zones:

5. If Map 3135-1 was selected in the above steps, water-bearing zones occur at depths easily reached by shallow dug and bored wells and sand points; if Map 3135-3 was selected, locate the well site on Map 3135-4 and note the depth to the water-bearing zones by using the legend; if Map 3135-5 was selected, locate the well site on Map 3135-6 and note the depths to the water-bearing zones by using the legend;

6. exact depths to water-bearing zones for individual wells are shown on maps 3135-1, 3135-3 and 3135-5.

To evaluate water quality:
7. to evaluate the likely ground-water quality at a potential well site, locate the well on the selected yield map and note the nearby ground-water sampling points. Chemical analyses of these samples are found in the Inorganic Chemical Analyses tables 1, 2, and 3 on Sheet 4. To interpret the significance of the analyses, refer to Table 4 on Sheet 4.

	materials (gravel, sand, silt, clay)	to construct  Large diameter provides reservoir storage; augments low yields  Can be constructed in areas of limited access	Depth is limited because of caving     Well failure is common during dry periods because of usually shallow depths
BORED WELLS	OVERBURDEN both low- and high-yielding materials (gravel, sand, silt, clay)	Efficient method of constructing large-diameter wells     Large diameter provides reservoir storage; augments low yields	Depth is usually limited because of well-drilling equipment limita- tions and very hard earth materials
DRILLED WELLS	OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK moderate to high-yielding materials (sand, gravel, sand- stone, limestone)	<ul> <li>Can reach deeper depths than other techniques</li> <li>Can penetrate bedrock</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Generally small- diameter wells with little reservoir storage capacity</li> </ul>
DRIVEN OR JETTED WELLS (Sand Points)	OVERBURDEN moderate to high- yielding materials (sand and gravel)	Simple installation: can be done by hand or machine     A number of these wells can be hooked into one water-supply system	Small diameter provides little reservoir storage     Depth is limited; depends on tightness of overburden

## YIELDS FROM BEDROCK - SUMMARY

Yields of over 50 gallons per minute to wells in bedrock occur in a few isolated wells penetrating fractured limestone or dolomite at Alliston, east of Bond Head and south of Bradford in the southern part of the map are Singhampton in the northwestern part of the map area and inform of singhampton in the northwestern part of the map area. Less fractured limestone and dolomite yield between 10 and 50 gallons per minute at Stayner and Singhampton in the north, as do some highly fractured shales near Tottenham in the southern part of the map area. Yields between 2 and 10 gallons per minute are found in areas of limestone, dolomite and sandstone of the Simcoe Group and the Amabel, Fossil Hill, Manitoulin and Whirlpool formations. Yields of less than 2 gallons per minute are found in most areas underlain by shales of the Cabot Head, Queenston, Georgian Bay and Whitby formations except in the northwestern part of the map area where some of these formations will yield between 2 and 10 gallons per minute.

Deane, R. E., 1950; Pleistocene geology of the Lake Simcoe district, Ontario; Geological Survey of Canada, Memoir 256. Gwynn, Q. H. J., and Fraser, J. Z., 1975; Bedrock topography of the Dundalk area, southern Ontario; Ontario Division of Mines, Preliminary Map P.306 (revised), Bedrock Karrow, P. F., 1964; Bedrock topography of the Orangeville area, southern Ontario; Ontario Department of Mines, Preliminary Map P.266, Bedrock Topography Series. Liberty, B. A., 1969; Palaeozoic geology of the Lake Simcoe area, Ontario; Geological Survey of Canada, Memoir 355. Sibul, U., and Choo-Ying, A. V., 1971; Water resources of the Upper Nottawasaga River drainage basin; Ontario Water Resources Commission, Division of Water Resources, Water Resources Report 3.

Sibul, U., and Wang, K. T., Vallery, D. J., and Chin, V. I., (in press); Ground-water resources of the Holland-Black river drainage basins; Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Water Resources Branch, Water Resources Report 14. Telford, P. G., 1975; Paleozoic geology of the Collingwood-Nottawasaga area, southern Ontario; Ontario Division of Mines, Map 2341. White, O. L., 1967; Bedrock topography of the Bolton area, southern Ontario; Ontario Department of Mines, Preliminary Map P.470, Bedrock Topography Series.

Bedrock-surface elevation derived from water-well records on file with the Ontario Ministry of the Environment up to the end of 1978. Bedrock topography interpretation by D. Walmsley and M. E. Turner. Cartography by H. De Souza. Base maps derived from 1:50 000 map sheets of the National Topographic series.



MINISTRY OF THE ENVIRONMENT Water Resources Branch

**COUNTY OF SIMCOE** (Southern Portion)

Map 3135

**GROUND-WATER PROBABILITY** 

SHEET 3

WATER SUPPLIES IN BEDROCK